

# Renal function after percutaneous coronary interventions depending on the type of hydration

Jarocka IT<sup>1</sup>, Bachórzewska-Gajewska H<sup>2,3</sup>, Kobus G<sup>2</sup>, Czaban S<sup>1</sup>, Małyżko J<sup>4</sup>, Dobrzycki S<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Intensive Care and Anesthesiology, Medical University of Białystok, Białystok, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, Medical University of Białystok, Białystok, Poland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Invasive Cardiology, Medical University of Białystok, Białystok, Poland

<sup>4</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> Department of Nephrology and Hypertension with Dialysis Unit, Medical University of Białystok, Białystok, Poland

\* CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Department of Invasive Cardiology,

Medical University of Białystok

M. Skłodowskiej-Curie 24a,

15-276 Białystok, Poland

Tel.: + 4885 746 8496

Fax: + 4885 746 8828

e-mail: slawek\_dobrzycki@yahoo.com (Sławomir Dobrzycki)

Received: 13.11.2012

Accepted: 11.03.2013

Advances in Medical Sciences

Vol. 58(2) 2013 · pp 369-375

DOI: 10.2478/ams-2013-0006

© Medical University of Białystok, Poland

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** The aim of our study was to evaluate renal function assessed by serum creatinine as well as novel biomarkers in 142 patients with stable coronary heart disease and normal serum creatinine undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) depending on the type of hydration: physiological saline vs. sodium bicarbonate (1:1 randomization).

**Materials and Methods:** Serum and urinary NGAL were evaluated before and after 8-12, and 24 hours after PCI. Serum cystatin C, serum creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate using different formulae were assessed before PCI, and 24 hours after the procedure.

**Results:** Only 2 patients (2.8%) from the saline-hydrated group fulfilled the criteria for CI-AKI. In patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate serum creatinine declined significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ). In patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate a significant fall in serum NGAL after 8-12 hours was found. In sodium bicarbonate group cystatin C decreased non significantly after 8-12 hours, then returned to the baseline values.

In patients hydrated with physiological saline serum NGAL before PCI and after 24 hours correlated positively with cystatin C and eGFR by CKD-EPI. In patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate baseline serum NGAL correlated with NGAL baseline cystatin C and eGFR by CKD-EPI, similarly serum NGAL after 24 hours correlated with cystatin C.

**Conclusion:** We suggest to rather use sodium bicarbonate in a hydration protocol in patients undergoing PCI. However, the value of NGAL in this setting remains to be elucidated and volume expansion remain the unquestionable prevention methods of CI-AKI.

**Key words:** Cystatin C, NGAL, kidney function, PCI, hydration

## INTRODUCTION

Approximately 5 billion doses of contrast agents are annually used in the US. The administration of contrast media can lead to a usually reversible form of acute kidney injury (AKI) called contrast-induced nephropathy that begins soon after the contrast is administered [1-7]. Most commonly, contrast-induced nephropathy (nowadays contrast-induced acute

kidney injury CI-AKI) is defined as an acute impairment of renal function manifested by an absolute increase in serum creatinine of at least 0.5 mg/dL or by relative increase by at least 25% from the baseline levels [2,8,9]. Peak creatinine typically occurs 3 to 5 days after contrast administration and returns to baseline (or a new baseline) in 1 to 3 weeks [2]. Renal failure is nonoliguric for the vast majority of patients. In almost all cases, the decline in renal function

is mild and transient. AKI may also occur after primary angioplasty. The most common causes are hemodynamic instability, radiocontrast toxicity, and atheroembolism. This variability results from differences in the presence or absence of risk factors (primarily chronic kidney disease - CKD), definition of CI-AKI, amount and type of agent administered, prospective or retrospective design, the exact radiologic procedure, and whether other causes of AKI unrelated to contrast media were excluded (e.g. atheroemboli during arteriography) [10]. In comparison to percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI), the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy is low following intravenous contrast administration, even in patients with CKD [11]. Since interventional cardiologists are being asked more frequently to perform PCI on an increasing numbers of patients with significant co-morbidities such as CKD and/or diabetes, contrast nephropathy is a potentially serious complication of PCI [2]. The most important issue is prophylaxis, however, there are still many unresolved questions concerning the type, volume, duration of hydration, type of contrast agents etc. Merten et al. [12] demonstrated in their study that hydration with sodium bicarbonate was better than with physiological saline. Up to now, intravenous volume load is the only reasonably proven and widely accepted prophylaxis for CI-AKI after contrast media application [13-15]. Many new biomarkers of CIN were studied, and some of them seemed promising as NGAL [16,17]. The “window of opportunity” is narrow in contrast-induced nephropathy and time is limited to introduce proper treatment after initiating insult, particularly when patients are discharged within 24 – 48 hours after the procedure. Therefore, there is an extensive search for potential early markers for AKI and nephrotoxicity as well as assessment of kidney function [18], especially in the upcoming setting of short-time hospitalizations for coronary angiographies and interventions. As we presented previously, in high-risk population, awareness of potential complications resulted in better standard care and even lower creatinine after PCI [19]. We also reported that prevalence of CKD is relatively high in patients with normal serum creatinine [20], particularly in the elderly [21].

Taking all these data into consideration the aim of the study was to evaluate renal function assessed by serum creatinine as well as novel biomarkers in patients with stable coronary heart disease and normal serum creatinine (i.e. low-risk) undergoing PCI depending on the type of hydration: physiological saline versus sodium bicarbonate.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study included 142 patients, i.e. 71 patients hydrated with physiological saline compared to 71 patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate with normal serum creatinine undergoing PCI to stable angina (II/III class according to CCS). Patients were randomly assigned to each group. The

whole group included 91 males (63.2%), the mean age was  $64.23 \pm 9.82$  years.

We excluded patients with preexisting CKD (more than 1.5 mg/dL in males and less than 1.2 mg/dL in females). None of the patients investigated had received nephrotoxic drugs at least 1 week before and during the study period. In all the patients, 24 hours before PCI all the nephrotoxic drugs (NSAIDs, diuretics, biguanidine derivatives in diabetic patients) were withdrawn and ACE inhibitors were either withdrawn (when blood pressure permitted) or halved 24 hours before the procedure. All the patients were informed about the aim of the study and gave their consent. The protocol of the study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Białystok (Poland). All the patients admitted to the Department of Invasive Cardiology were given 2 liters of hydration within 24 hours periprocedurally. It was a randomization, 1:1 neither patients nor physicians know the hydration regimen.

The hydration protocol included two groups and was as follows: hydration with either physiological saline (154 mEq/L NaCl which is equivalent to 0.9% isotonic saline) or sodium bicarbonate (154 mEq/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub> in 5% dextrose) 1 hour before PCI as an infusion of 3mL/kg/h and 6 hours after PCI as an infusion of 1mL/kg/h. CI-AKI was defined as a rise in serum creatinine by  $>0.5$ mg/dL or as a relative increase by  $\geq 25\%$  or fall in eGFR by  $>25\%$ .

Iso-osmolal contrast agent (iodixanol) was used in all the studied patients. Serum and urinary NGAL, serum cystatin C were evaluated at admission, i.e. before, and after 8-12, and 24 hours after PCI, while serum creatinine was assessed at admission and 24 hours after the procedure. Hemoglobin, hematocrit, cholesterol, creatinine, were studied at admission. We assessed kidney function according to the simplified MDRD equation [22], CKD-EPI equation [23], Cockcroft-Gault formula [24] and Cockcroft-Gault formula adjusted to lean body mass (lean body mass= $[0.9 \times (\text{height [cm]} - 152)] + (50$  for males or 45.5 for females).

NGAL was evaluated using commercially available ELISA kit from Bioporto (Gentofte, Denmark). All tests were performed according to manufacturer's instructions by the same person. Serum cystatin C was measured using commercially available kits from BioVendor, Modrice, Czech Republic.

Data given were analyzed using Statistica 8.0 PL. ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA for repeated measurements were used in statistical analysis with  $p < 0.05$  considered statistically significant, when appropriate.

## RESULTS

Baseline clinical and biochemical characteristics is given in *Tab. 1*. In a group hydrated with physiological saline, mean serum creatinine after 24 hours was 0.99 mg/dL and

it was 0.01±0.18mg/dL higher than the baseline values. A rise in serum creatinine after 24 hours was found in 53.6% and a decrease in 44.6%. In patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate, serum creatinine declined from 1.01mg/dL to 0.96 mg/dL (p<0.01). A rise in serum creatinine after 24 hours was found in 31.8% and a decrease in 62.1%. Changes in eGFR in both groups are presented in Tab. 2. Changes in serum and urinary NGAL as well as in serum cystatin C are given in Tab. 3.

In patients hydrated with physiological saline, serum NGAL before PCI correlated positively with cystatin C

(r=0.36, p<0.01) and eGFR by CKD-EPI (r=-0.79, p<0.001), similarly serum NGAL after 24 hours correlated with cystatin C (r=0.35, p<0.01) and eGFR by CKD-EPI (r=-0.55, p<0.001). In patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate, baseline serum NGAL correlated with NGAL baseline cystatin C (r=0.45, p<0.001) and eGFR by CKD-EPI (r=-0.39, p<0.001), similarly serum NGAL after 24 hours correlated with cystatin C (r=0.48, p<0.001).

Only 2 patients (2.8%) from the saline-hydrated group fulfilled the criteria for CI-AKI, no patients from the sodium bicarbonate hydrated group suffered from CI-AKI, the

**Table 1. Baseline clinical and biochemical characteristics in the 2 studied groups.**

	total (n=142)	Group with NaCl (n=71)	Group with NaHCO3 (n=71)
Age (years)	64.23±9.82	63.27±9.71	65.18±9.91
Prevalence of hypertension (n, %)		67 (94.4%)	59 (83.1%)*
Prevalence of glucose intolerance (n, %)		23 (32.4%)	19 (26.8%)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		28.99±4.51	28.8±4.39
Cholesterol (mg/dl)		169.79±39.44	157.45±37.5
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.99±0.20	0.97±0.19	1.01±0.22
NGAL serum (ng/dl)	59.8 (49.2; 76.4)	58.8 (48.0; 73.6)	60.4 (50.8; 78.2)
NGAL urine (ng/dl)	9.8 (5.6; 18.6)	9.5 (5.5; 16.7)	9.95 (6.1; 21.5)
cystatin C serum (mg/L)	0.98 (0.80; 1.21)	0.93 (0.74; 1.14)	1.02 (0.86; 1.35)**
eGFR	MDRD (ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	75.75±16.67	75.75±16.79
	Cockcroft-Gault (ml/min)	84.33±28.39	85.12±28.38
	CKD-EPI (ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	75.26±17.89	75.26±18.03
	Cockcroft-Gault (LBM) (ml/min)	65.45±20.20	66.09±20.20
PCI time (min)	55.56±26.60	55.35±28.23	55.77±25.07
Contrast volume (ml)	156.30±82.54	152.39±73.01	160.21±91.45

\*p<0.05, p<0.01 group I vs. II;  
Data given are means ± SD, or medians and interquartile ranges.

**Table 2. eGFR (MDRD, CKD-EPI)/creatinine clearance (Cockcroft-Gault) in patients undergoing PCI depending on the type of hydration.**

eGFR	0 baseline	after 24 h	delta GFR (0-24)
<b>NaCl group</b>			
MDRD ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	75.53±16.18	75.54±15.74	-0.0018±10.81
Cockcroft-Gault ml/min	83.72±25.93	83.67±25.18	0.0530±10.40
CKD-EPI ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	75.43±17.48	75.26±16.52	0.1781±10.67
Cockcroft-Gault (LBM) ml/min	65.32±19.70	64.99±17.84	0.3317±8.06
<b>NaHCO<sub>3</sub> group</b>			
MDRD ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	75.77±16.23	80.55±21.87*	-4.7868±18.75
Cockcroft-Gault ml/min	84.76±27.93	88.46±27.57*	-3.6984±13.10
CKD-EPI ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	75.17±17.38	78.48±17.08*	-3.3022±10.98
Cockcroft-Gault (LBM) ml/min	66.01±19.74	69.37±22.14	-3.3572±14.32

\*p<0.05 before vs. after 24 hours;  
Data given are means ± SD, or medians and interquartile ranges.

**Table 3. NGAL and cystatin C depending on the type of hydration.**

	baseline 0	after 8-12 h	after 24 h
<b>NaCl group</b>			
NGAL serum(ng/dl)	58.8 (48.0; 73.6)	58.8 (45.2; 67.6)	59.2 (51.4; 74.8)
NGAL urine (ng/dl)	9.5 (5.5; 16.7)	9.6 (4.5; 19.8)	10.8 (6.7; 24.5)*
cystatin C serum (mg/L)	0.93 (0.74; 1.14)	0.92 (0.72; 1.19)	0.99 (0.80; 1.25)
<b>NaHCO<sub>3</sub> group</b>			
NGAL serum(ng/dl)	60.4 (50.8; 78.2)	58.6 (48.4; 69.8)*	59.0 (50.6; 71.8)
NGAL urine (ng/dl)	9.95 (6.1; 21.5)	8.65 (5.4; 18.1)	10.55 (5.55; 22.7)
cystatin C serum (mg/L)	1.02 (0.86; 1.35)	0.97 (0.75; 1.24)	1.02 (0.88; 1.29)

\* $p < 0.05$  vs. baseline

difference on the prevalence of CI-AKI between groups was statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## DISCUSSION

In our study, we found a low, but significantly higher prevalence of CI-AKI in patients hydrated with physiological saline over patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate with normal serum creatinine. At least 5% of patients who undergo cardiac catheterization experience a transient rise in the plasma creatinine concentration of more than 1.0 mg/dL (88  $\mu$ mol/L) due to contrast-induced renal dysfunction [6]. The risk is negligible with normal renal function, even if the patient is diabetic, whereas it exceeds 50% particularly in patients with diabetic nephropathy [6]. Therefore, we chose a low-risk population and searched for a new biomarker of kidney dysfunction following contrast administration, bearing in mind that CI-AKI was the third leading cause of hospital-acquired AKI and optimal therapy to prevent this complication remained uncertain [3].

In our study on low risk patients, the real prevalence of CI-AKI was low. It could be due to the fact that our patients were really at low risk, with normal serum creatinine at baseline, low comorbidities, with stable angina, undergoing elective coronary angiography. As shown previously, comorbidities such as diabetes, CKD, risk factor control and adherence to treatment are important risk factors for cardiovascular complications [25-28]. El-Hajjar et al. [28] and Aquiar-Souto et al. [29] found similarly low prevalence of CI-AKI in their low risk patients.

So far, there are only a few studies comparing various types of hydration. In vast majority of randomized controlled trials physiological saline infusion was used for volume expansion, whereas in other trials half-isotonic saline (0.45%) or sodium bicarbonate at higher volumes were administered. It seems that the benefit in these studies was rather due to volume expansion than to pharmacologic

effect of a specific type of infusion. Both trials with sodium bicarbonate were terminated prematurely [12,30] and it was a matter of criticism. Moreover, there is still controversy concerning the type, amount, route and duration of volume administration. Comparisons between trials are very difficult or even impossible due to wide variations in hydration protocols among relevant randomized trials [31]. In addition, most studies also lacked statistical power, used different contrast agents, different definitions of contrast nephropathy or allowed for additional prophylactic measures, such as N-acetylcysteine or sodium bicarbonate in a varying percentage of their patients [32-40].

Maioli et al. [41] assessed the prevalence of contrast nephropathy in 502 patients with GFR below 60 ml/min. All of the patients were given N-acetylcysteine and iodixanol as contrast agents. They were hydrated with either physiological saline or sodium bicarbonate. They found similar prevalence of contrast nephropathy, however, this „negative” trial may have significant clinical implications to enhance frequency of hydration with sodium bicarbonate. It is more acceptable and more cost-effective to administer patients with 7-hours infusion of sodium bicarbonate than 24-hours infusion with physiological saline. On the other hand, Tomura et al. [42] reported that extra 20mEq sodium bicarbonate bolus 5 minutes before contrast agent administration decreased the incidence of contrast nephropathy were hydrated for 12 hours before and after the procedure. In the recent study by Klima et al. [43], patients were randomized to receive intravenous volume supplementation with either (A) sodium chloride 0.9% 1 mL/kg/h for at least 12h prior and after the procedure, (B) sodium bicarbonate (166 mEq/L) 3 mL/kg for 1h before and 1 mL/kg/h for 6h after the procedure or (C) sodium bicarbonate (166 mEq/L) 3 mL/kg over 20min before the procedure plus sodium bicarbonate orally (500 mg per 10 kg). They found that the incidence of contrast-induced nephropathy was significantly lower in Group A (1%) vs. Group B (9%,  $P=0.02$ ) and similar between Groups B and C (10%,  $P=0.9$ ). They concluded that 24-hours hydration with physiological

saline is superior to sodium bicarbonate, however, a short-term regimen with sodium bicarbonate is non-inferior to a 7 h regimen. In real life scenario, economy plays a crucial role, and in elective PCI, hydration could be given just before and after the procedure, but not 24 hours before. Therefore from clinical perspective we look for the optimal prophylaxis for CI-AKI taking into consideration logistic, economy and current standard care.

Due to all these inconsistencies, we designed the study to compare kidney function using novel biomarkers and two types of hydration. According to our knowledge, there is one study assessing the effect of early intervention by volume expansion in patients with increasing urinary NGAL levels 4-6 hours following contrast agent application [44]. In our study, we found a fall in serum NGAL in patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate, whereas changes in urinary NGAL in both groups were not statistically significant. Serum cystatin C did not change significantly in patients hydrated with 0.9% NaCl whereas in patients hydrated with sodium bicarbonate it decreased significantly. Prevalence of CI-AKI was significantly higher, although still low, in patients administered physiological saline. We did not neglect cystatin C, however, as shown previously by Herget-Rosenthal et al. [45], cystatin C rises as early as after 1 day, whereas we looked for much earlier markers, since the vast majority of patients are discharged from the hospital within 24 hours after PCI.

On the basis of our findings, we suggest to rather use sodium bicarbonate in a hydration protocol in patients undergoing PCI. Metabolic acidosis is one of the most commonly encountered complications of CKD [46]. Clinical practice guidelines in nephrology recommend initiation of alkali therapy when the serum bicarbonate level is <22 mEq/l to prevent or treat complications of metabolic acidosis [47]. Alkali therapy is associated with an improvement in kidney function, which may afford a long-term benefit in delaying the progression of CKD [48]. Therefore, we would take into consideration that in patients with CKD, bicarbonate might offer additional benefit, to overcome or attenuate acid-balance disturbances.

## CONCLUSIONS

The value of NGAL in low risk patients undergoing PCI, depending on different hydration regimens, needs to be explained while the volume expansion remains the unquestionable prevention method of CI-AKI. The question of hydration type is still unanswered, however, we suggest to rather use sodium bicarbonate in a hydration protocol in patients undergoing PCI.

## REFERENCES

1. Berns AS. Nephrotoxicity of contrast media. *Kidney Int.* 1989 Oct;36(4):730-40.
2. Gami AS, Garovic VD. Contrast nephropathy after coronary angiography. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2004 Feb;79(2):211-9.
3. Maeder M, Klein M, Fehr T, Rickli H. Contrast nephropathy: review focusing on prevention. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004 Nov 2;44(9):1763-71.
4. Alwall N, Erlanson P, Tornberg A. This clinical course of renal failure occurring after intravenous urography and/or retrograde pyelography; casuistics of 11 cases (including 7 deaths); on indications for and risks involved in the use of contrast media, including some remarks on the risk of aspiration biopsy of the kidney. *Acta Med Scand.* 1955 Oct 12;152(3): 163-73.
5. Bergman LA, Ellison MR, Dunea G. Acute renal failure after drip-infusion pyelography. *N Engl J Med.* 1968 Dec 5;279(23):1277.
6. Swartz RD, Rubin JE, Leeming BW, Silva P. Renal failure following major angiography. *Am J Med.* 1978 Jul;65(1):31-7.
7. McCullough PA, Wolyn R, Rocher LL, Levin RN, O'Neill WW. Acute renal failure after coronary intervention: incidence, risk factors, and relationship to mortality. *Am J Med.* 1997 Nov;103(5): 368-75.
8. Murphy SW, Barret BJ, Parfrey PS. Contrast nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2000 Jan;11(1): 177-82.
9. Bellomo R, Kellum JA, Ronco C. Defining acute renal failure: physiological principles. *Intensive Care Med.* 2004 Jan;30(1): 33-7.
10. Newhouse JH, Kho D, Rao QA, Starren J. Frequency of serum creatinine changes in the absence of iodinated contrast material: implications for studies of contrast nephrotoxicity. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008 Aug;191(2):376-82.
11. Weisbord SD, Mor MK, Resnick AL, Hartwig KC, Palevsky PM, Fine MJ. Incidence and outcomes of contrast-induced AKI following computed tomography. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008 Sep;3(5):1274-81.
12. Merten GJ, Burgess WP, Gray LV, Holleman JH, Roush TS, Kowalchuk GJ, et al. Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy with sodium bicarbonate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2004 May 19;291(19):2328-34.
13. Bader BD, Berger ED, Heede MB, Silberbauer I, Duda S, Risler T, et al. What is the best hydration regimen to prevent contrast media-induced nephrotoxicity? *Clin Nephrol.* 2004 Jul;62(1):1-7.
14. Weisbord SD, Palevsky PM: Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy with volume expansion. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008 Jan;3(1):273-80.

15. Mueller C, Buerkle G, Buettner HJ, Petersen J, Perruchoud AP, Eriksson U, et al. Prevention of contrast media-associated nephropathy: randomized comparison of 2 hydration regimens in 1620 patients undergoing coronary angioplasty. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002 Feb; 162(3):329-36.
16. Bachorzewska-Gajewska H, Malyszko J, Sitniewska E, Malyszko JS, Pawlak K, Mysliwiec M, et al. Could neutrophil-gelatinase-associated lipocalin and cystatin C predict the development of contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary interventions in patients with stable angina and normal serum creatinine values? *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2007; 30(6):408-15.
17. Malyszko J, Bachorzewska-Gajewska H, Sitniewska E, Malyszko JS, Poniatowski B, Dobrzycki S. Serum neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin as a marker of renal function in non-diabetic patients with stage 2-4 chronic kidney disease. *Ren Fail.* 2008; 30(6):625-8
18. Nair DR, Mehta S, Mikhailidis DP. Assessing renal function - searching for the perfect marker continues! *Arch Med Sci.* 2011 Aug;7(4):565-7.
19. Bachorzewska-Gajewska H, Malyszko J, Sitniewska E, Malyszko J. Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions in everyday clinical practice. *Arch Med Sci.* 2006; 2(4): 256-61.
20. Malyszko J, Bachorzewska-Gajewska H, Malyszko J, Levin-Iaina N, Iaina A, Dobrzycki S. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and anemia in patients with coronary artery disease with normal serum creatinine undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions: relation to New York Heart Association class. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 2010 Aug;12(8):489-93.
21. Malyszko J, Bachorzewska-Gajewska H, Malyszko JS, Dobrzycki S. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in elderly patients with normal serum creatinine levels undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions. *Gerontology.* 2010;56(1):51-4.
22. Levey AS, Berg RL, Gassman JJ, Hall PM, Walker WG. Creatinine filtration, secretion and excretion during progressive renal disease. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study Group. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 1989 Nov;27:S73-80.
23. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009 May 5;150(9):604-12
24. Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. *Nephron.* 1976; 16(1):31-41.
25. Aronow WS. Editorial on management of diabetes mellitus with coronary artery disease. *Arch Med Sci.* 2011 Dec;7(6):928-30.
26. Vulic D, Loncar S, Krneta M, Skrbic R, Lazarevic A, Lee BT, et al. Risk factor control and adherence to treatment in patients with coronary heart disease in the Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina in 2005-2006. *Arch Med Sci.* 2010 Apr;6(2):183-7.
27. Couser WG, Riella MC. World Kidney Day 2011 - Protect your kidneys, save your heart. *Arch Med Sci.* 2011 Feb;7(1):1-4.
28. El-Hajjar M, Bashir I, Khan M, Min J, Torosoff M, DeLago A. Incidence of contrast -induced nephropathy in patients with chronic renal insufficiency undergoing multidetector computed tomographic angiography treated with preventive measures. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008 Aug;102(3):353-6.
29. Aguiar-Souto P, Ferrante G, Del Furia F, Barlis P, Khurana R, Di Mario C. Frequency and predictors of contrast-induced nephropathy after angioplasty for chronic total occlusions. *Int J Cardiol.* 2010 Feb;139(1):68-74.
30. Masuda M, Yamada T, Mine T, Morita T, Tamaki S, Tsukamoto Y, et al. Comparison of usefulness of sodium bicarbonate versus sodium chloride to prevent contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing an emergent coronary procedure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007 Sep;100(5):781-6.
31. Reddan D, Laville M, Garovic VD. Contrast-induced nephropathy and its prevention: What do we really know from evidence-based findings? *J Nephrol.* 2009 May-Jun;22(3):333-51.
32. Briguori C, Airoidi F, D'Andrea D, Bonizzoni E, Morici N, Focaccio A, et al. Renal Insufficiency Following Contrast Media Administration Trial (REMEDIAL): a randomized comparison of 3 preventive strategies. *Circulation.* 2007 Mar;115(10):1211-7.
33. Ozcan EE, Guneri S, Akdeniz B, Akyildiz IZ, Senaslan O, Baris N, et al. Sodium bicarbonate, N-acetylcysteine, and saline for prevention of radiocontrast-induced nephropathy. A comparison of 3 regimens for protecting contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing coronary procedures. A single-center prospective controlled trial. *Am Heart J.* 2007 Sep;154(3):539-44.
34. Recio-Mayoral A, Chaparro M, Prado B, Cózar R, Méndez I, Banerjee D, et al. The reno-protective effect of hydration with sodium bicarbonate plus N-acetylcysteine in patients undergoing emergency percutaneous coronary intervention: the RENO Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007 Mar;49(12):1283-8.
35. Shavit L, Korenfeld R, Lifschitz M, Butnaru A, Slotki I. Sodium bicarbonate versus sodium chloride and oral N-acetylcysteine for the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy in advanced chronic kidney disease. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2009 Dec;22(6):556-63.
36. Vasheghani-Farahani A, Sadigh G, Kassaian SE, Khatami SM, Fotouhi A, Razavi SA, et al. Sodium bicarbonate plus isotonic saline versus saline for prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing coronary angiography: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2009 Oct;54(4):610-8.

37. Budhiraja P, Chen Z, Popovtzer M. Sodium bicarbonate versus normal saline for protection against contrast nephropathy. *Ren Fail.* 2009;31(2):118-23.
38. Pakfetrat M, Nikoo MH, Malekmakan L, Tabandeh M, Roozbeh J, Nasab MH, et al. A comparison of sodium bicarbonate infusion versus normal saline infusion and its combination with oral acetazolamide for prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2009;41(3):629-34.
39. Bouzas-Mosquera A, Recio-Mayoral A. Sodium bicarbonate, N-acetylcysteine, and saline for the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy. *Am Heart J.* 2008 Apr;155(4):e31.
40. Marenzi G, Ferrari C, Marana I, Assanelli E, De Metrio M, Teruzzi G, et al. Prevention of contrast nephropathy by furosemide with matched hydration: the MYTHOS (Induced Diuresis With Matched Hydration Compared to Standard Hydration for Contrast Induced Nephropathy Prevention) trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012 Jan;5(1):90-7.
41. Maioli M, Toso A, Leoncini M, Gallopin M, Tedeschi D, Micheletti C, et al. Sodium bicarbonate versus saline for the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients with renal dysfunction undergoing coronary angiography or intervention. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008 Aug;52(8):599-604.
42. Tamura A, Goto Y, Miyamoto K, Naono S, Kawano Y, Kotoku M, et al. Efficacy of single-bolus administration of sodium bicarbonate to prevent contrast-induced nephropathy in patients with mild renal insufficiency undergoing an elective coronary procedure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009 Oct;104(7):921-5.
43. Klima T, Christ A, Marana I, Kalbermatter S, Uthoff H, Burri E, et al. Sodium chloride vs. sodium bicarbonate for the prevention of contrast medium-induced nephropathy: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2012 Aug;33(16):2071-9.
44. Schilcher G, Ribitsch W, Otto R, Portugaller RH, Quehenberger F, Truschnig-Wilders M, et al. Early detection and intervention using neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) may improve renal outcome of acute contrast media induced nephropathy: a randomized controlled trial in patients undergoing intra-arterial angiography (ANTI-CIN Study). *BMC Nephrol.* 2011 Aug;12:39.
45. Herget-Rosenthal S, Marggraf G, Hüsing J, Göring F, Pietruck F, Janssen O, et al. Early detection of acute renal failure by serum cystatin C. *Kidney Int.* 2004 Sep;66(3):1115-22.
46. Kraut JA, Kurtz I. Metabolic acidosis of CKD: diagnosis, clinical characteristics, and treatment. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2005 Jun;45(6):978-93.
47. National Kidney Foundation. KDOQI Clinical practice guidelines for nutrition in chronic renal failure. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2000 Jun;35(6 Suppl 2):S1-140.
48. Susantitaphong P, Sewaralthahab K, Balk EM, Jaber BL, Madias NE. Short- and long-term effects of alkali therapy in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. *Am J Nephrol.* 2012;35(6):540-7.