Assessment of Moderate to Severe Abdominal Blood Loss Using Peripheral to Central Blood Oxygen Saturation
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: There are various definitions and monitoring modalities for hemodynamic status. Each of them has its own advantages and shortcomings. A new hemodynamic index is proposed in this study. This index can be calculated by placing the measured hemoglobin saturation in a formula. Blood samples for this measurement are taken from arterial, antecubital and central venous blood.

Material and Methods: We calculated this index in three different groups undergoing laparatomy. The control group consisted of patients who underwent elective surgery. The case group with acute internal abdominal bleeding was divided into two groups. Those with more than 20 ml/kg of blood in their abdominal cavity were designated as the severe case group, while those bleeding less were categorized as moderate. Blood samples were taken from ten patients in each group in stable and unstable conditions.

Results: This index differed significantly between dissimilar hemodynamic conditions. The pre-anesthesia value of this index in the control group showed a mean ± SD of 8.5 ± 3.2 vs. 1.6 ± 0.4 in the moderate case group vs. 0.7 ± 0.08 in the case group with severe hemodynamic changes (p < .001). The index approximated to the control values as the circumstances improved. After compensation for volume loss, pre-extubation values were not significantly different. These were 9.6 ± 2 in the control group vs. 8 ± 2 in the case group with moderate hemodynamic change vs. 8 ± 1.8 in the severe case group. The likelihood ratio of bleeding increased as this index decreased.

Conclusion: As the hemodynamic condition deteriorates, this index decreases significantly. This index is an accurate indicator for predicting hemodynamic changes compared to some other modalities. Further investigations are needed into the prognostic and therapeutic advantages of this index.
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INTRODUCTION

Hemodynamic status may be defined in various ways. Therefore, different modalities have been used for its monitoring. Each of these practices has some advantages, but does not provide comprehensive information.

Heart rate, cardiac output, arterial or venous blood pressure may reflect hemodynamic status, if the blood flow is considered as the goal. However, these findings are unable to represent the adequacy of the blood flow for tissue oxygenation [1-6].

Mixed venous hemoglobin saturation can provide valuable information about the balance of oxygen delivery and consumption. The taking of samples with pulmonary artery catheterization and the need to have a base value are among the shortcomings of this modality [7-18].

Various methods use regional venous hemoglobin saturation or tissue oxygenation as a goal for hemodynamic sufficiency. These procedures do not provide enough data regarding the general condition of the body [19-37].

In this study, we define an index to determine the hemodynamic status of our patients.

Adolph Fick described an equation to determine blood flow by measuring overall oxygen uptake and content in the blood:
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\[ Q' = \frac{\dot{V}O_2}{(Cao2 - Cvo2) \times 10} \]

\[ Q' = \frac{\text{cardiac output (L/min)}}{\text{(arterial blood O2 content/mL - mixed venous blood O2 content/mL)}} \times 10 \]

\[ \dot{V}O_2 = \text{oxygen consumption (mL O2/min)} \]

\[ Cao2 = \text{oxygen content of arterial blood (mL O2/100 mL blood)} \]

\[ Cvo2 = \text{oxygen content of mixed venous blood (mL O2/100 mL blood)} \]

The same equation is true for an extremity such as a forearm or the central part of the body. Dividing the formulas and ignoring the dissolved portion of oxygen in the blood, we arrive at the following equation:

\[ \frac{(Q_{hand}/V_{hando2})}{(Q_{central}/V_{centralo2})} = \frac{Sao2 - S_{centralvo2}}{Sao2 - S_{handvo2}} \]

\[ Q_{hand} = \text{forearm perfusion} \]

\[ V_{hando2} = \text{forearm oxygen consumption} \]

\[ Q_{central} = \text{central perfusion} \]

\[ V_{centralo2} = \text{central oxygen consumption} \]

\[ S_{ao2} = \text{arterial hemoglobin saturation} \]

\[ S_{centralvo2} = \text{central venous hemoglobin saturation} \]

\[ S_{handvo2} = \text{forearm venous hemoglobin saturation} \]

The value given by the above formula is denoted as the “Hemodynamic Index” in this paper.

We aimed to show whether or not this index was an accurate quantitative indicator of the severity of blood loss. In addition, we wanted to know if this index improved after volume compensation compared to other modalities.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

We selected patients undergoing laparatomy and classified them according to the amount of blood measured in their abdominal cavity. Then we compared this new index and some other known modalities in these groups before and after resuscitation.

We aimed to show whether or not this index was an accurate quantitative indicator of the severity of blood loss. In addition, we wanted to know if this index improved after volume compensation compared to other modalities.

**RESULTS**

The demographic and hemodynamic characteristics of patients are given in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of age, body mass index and gender (Tab. 1).

Pre-anesthesia hemodynamic characteristics including systolic blood pressure, respiratory rate, hemodynamic index, central venous oxygen saturation and hemoglobin level showed significant differentiation between groups (Tab. 2).

Post-resuscitation data showed significant differences in hemoglobin level and central venous oxygen saturation (Tab. 3).
Inclusion:
All patients candidate for laparotomy
Age: 20-50 Years Old
22<BMI<27
Agreed the informed consent

Emergency
Patients with documented acute internal abdominal hemorrhage

Exclusion:
Previous cardiac, respiratory or vascular disease, Taking current medication
(n=28)

Records:
Taking consent, T, HR, BP, PR, RR, Time of trauma, Medications & Fluid therapy

5 minutes preoxygenation with mask on 6 l/min O2,
Insertion of central venous line, Taking arterial line, Taking primary blood samples

Exclusion:
Radial systolic BP < 50 mmHg, RR>30, PR or HR irregularity,
Trauma occurred more than 4 hours ago,
Patient received more than 40 cc/kg fluid,
Vasopressor or blood transfusion since trauma .
(n=46)

Induction of anesthesia and laparatomy

Exclusion:
Poor cooperation (n=3)

Case Group with Moderate Hemodynamic Changes
(n=10)

More than 20 cc/kg free blood in the abdominal cavity

Case Group with Severe Hemodynamic Changes
(n=10)

Less than or equal to 20 cc/kg free blood in the abdominal cavity

Control Group
(n=10)

Exclusion:
Previous cardiac, respiratory or vascular disease, Taking current medication,
Accompanying trauma to head, extremities or pelvic
(n=28)

Records:
Taking consent, T, HR, BP, PR, RR, Time of trauma, Medications & Fluid therapy

5 minutes preoxygenation with mask on 6 l/min O2,
Insertion of central venous line, Taking arterial line, Taking primary blood samples

Exclusion:
Poor cooperation (n=1)

Inclusion:
All patients candidate for laparotomy
Age: 20-50 Years Old
22<BMI<27
Agreed the informed consent

Records:
Taking consent, T, HR, BP, PR, RR

Preparation, Induction of anesthesia and laparatomy

Exclusion:
Poor cooperation (n=1)

Inclusion:
All patients candidate for elective laparotomy

Patients candidate for elective laparotomy

Exclusion:
previous cardiac, respiratory or vascular disease, Taking current medication
(n=32)
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Figure 1 and 2 illustrate hemodynamic index error bars in stable and unstable conditions. We used receiver-operating characteristics (ROC curve) and constructed a final diagram (Fig. 3) to show the likelihood (sensitivity/1-specificity) of abdominal bleeding according to the hemodynamic index.

DISCUSSION

The ability to identify the hemodynamic condition of a patient would be of great value. Therapeutic and prognostic approaches can be based on this finding. Judgments in this area require a comprehensive understanding of different aspects of hemodynamic status. A physician can predict the mechanical properties of circulation by considering cardiovascular monitoring values such as arterial or venous blood pressures, echocardiographic indices and heart rate. However, some of these modalities are expensive, invasive or difficult to perform, and besides they cannot reflect oxygenation of the tissues [1-6].

Venous hemoglobin saturation, which can be carried out on a pulmonary artery blood sample (mixed venous) to indicate the general condition of the body [7-18] or on jugular venous samples to show brain circulation are important modalities. However, they still have shortcomings, such as their being invasive and requiring a patient-dependent basic value for further comparisons. Central venous oxygen saturation can also be used for this purpose [19-37].

Physiologic reduction of peripheral perfusion and maintenance of vital organ circulation (brain and heart) is the basis for this study. In a normal person, peripheral venous hemoglobin saturation has a value between the arterial and central venous hemoglobin saturations. This figure will become closer to or even lower than the central samples as the hemodynamic status deteriorates. We intended to find an index that was easy to determine, inexpensive and indicative

Table 2. Pre-anesthesia hemodynamic characteristics of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group (1) n=10</th>
<th>Case Group with Moderate change (2) n=10</th>
<th>Case Group with Severe change (3) n=10</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>post-hoc test evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>126 ± 8.8</td>
<td>122 ± 12.7</td>
<td>110 ± 7.8</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1 # 3 – 2 # 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Heart Rate</td>
<td>94 ± 11</td>
<td>93 ± 6</td>
<td>104 ± 1</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Respiratory Rate</td>
<td>11 ± 1.4</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>19 ± 3.6</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1 # 2 # 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37 ± 0.18</td>
<td>37 ± 0.18</td>
<td>37 ± 0.17</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Hemodynamic Index</td>
<td>8.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.08</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1 # 2 # 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Central Venous Pressure (cm H(_2)O)</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.33</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Central Venous Saturation (%)</td>
<td>85 ± 4</td>
<td>75 ± 5</td>
<td>71 ± 3</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1 # 2 – 1 # 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Hemoglobin level (g/dL)</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1 # 2 # 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Post resuscitation hemodynamic characteristics of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group (1) n=10</th>
<th>Case group with Moderate change (2) n=10</th>
<th>Case group with Severe change (3) n=10</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>post-hoc test evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final Hemodynamic Index</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
<td>8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Central Venous Pressure (cm H(_2)O)</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.96</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.26</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.57</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Central Venous Saturation (%)</td>
<td>82 ± 5</td>
<td>82 ± 3</td>
<td>78 ± 2</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>1 # 3 – 2 # 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Hemoglobin level (g/dL)</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1 # 2 - 1 # 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Loss (cc)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>665 ± 200</td>
<td>2000 ± 440</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1 # 2 # 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the severity of hemodynamic status. With this in mind, we rearranged the Fick equation and compared two parts of the body with each other.

Laboratory errors may be attenuated by this means as samples from different parts of the same patient are examined using an identical instrument.

This research could demonstrate that the proposed index differs significantly between dissimilar hemodynamic conditions and will approximate to the control values as the circumstances are corrected.

Our study also showed that this index accurately indicated the severity of abdominal bleeding. There is no need for a base value.

Central venous oxygen saturation showed significant differentiation in unstable conditions, but did not approximate to the control values after compensation. This finding may be due to differences in its base value, the need for more time for correction, or its inaccuracy for response to this therapy. Hemoglobin level has a trend similar to central venous oxygen saturation.

At the end of anesthesia, our patients were in their most stable hemodynamic condition. The effects of our drugs were minimal; they were resuscitated and were not under stress. Therefore, we decided to take final samples at this stage.

This index may be used to predict the severity of hemodynamic status. Its could be usefully applied in other conditions where the volume of blood loss is not measurable (such as pelvic fracture or massive external bleedings). Young patients do not usually show exaggerated changes in blood pressure or heart rate even in conditions where they have lost a great amount of their blood volume. This index may be an accurate modality for such circumstances.

Many confounding factors were eliminated by the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Therefore the results of this paper cannot be applied to patients in general until appropriate studies confirm the validity of this index.

Further research is needed to prove whether there is a linear correlation between this index and the severity of hemodynamic changes. In addition, supplementary investigations may demonstrate the prognostic or therapeutic value of this index.
CONCLUSION

We rearranged the Fick equation and arrived at the following formula:

\[
\frac{\text{left}}{\text{right}} = \frac{\text{left}}{\text{right}}
\]

Samples were taken from radial artery, antecubital and central veins.

The value given by the above formula was denoted the “hemodynamic index”.

We selected 30 patients in three different groups of hemodynamic conditions. This index differed significantly in these groups.

The index was an accurate indicator of the severity of abdominal blood loss. Receiver operating characteristics depicted high sensitivity and specificity for this index as bleeding exaggerated. This finding was illustrated as an increasing likelihood ratio of bleeding as the index decreased. In addition, it approximated to control values after our therapy, whereas central venous oxygen saturation and hemoglobin level did not.

The results given in this paper cannot yet be generalized until their validity is confirmed by other studies.
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