# *In vitro* antifungal activity of 2,5 disubstituted amino-oksometyloso-arylo-thiadiazole derivatives

Łukaszuk C<sup>1</sup>\*, Krajewska-Kułak E<sup>1</sup>, Niewiadomy A<sup>2</sup>, Stachowicz J<sup>2</sup>, Głaszcz U<sup>2</sup>, Oksiejczuk E<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of General Nursing, Medical Academy in Białystok, Poland
<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture in Lublin, Poland
<sup>3</sup> Białystok Technical University, Faculty of Environmental Management in Hajnówka, Poland

#### Abstract

**Purpose**: The aim of the study was the determination of antifungal activity of new of 2,5 disubstituted amino-oksomety-loso-arylo-thiadiazole (AOAT) derivatives against *Candida albicans*, non-*Candida albicans*.

**Material and methods**: The determination of antifungal activity AOATs against 20 *Candida albicans*, 18 non-*Candida albicans* was performed. Isolates were from different ontocenoses of patients were used for tests. AOATs were synthesized at Department of Chemistry University of Agriculture in Lublin.

**Results**: The mean MIC of AOATs against *Candida albicans* strains was 141.625 (37.5-200) mg/L on Sabouraud's medium (SB). The mean MIC of AOATs against non-*Candida albicans* strains was 153.3 (50-200) mg/L.

**Conclusion**: It seems that AOATs exert potent antifungal activity against the yeast-like fungi strains *in vitro*.

Key words: 2,5 disubstituted amino-oksometyloso-arylo-tiadiazoles, *Candida albicans*, non-*Candida albicans*.

## Introduction

The incidence of nosocomial infections by the yeast-like fungi strains has surged over the past decade, from the eighth to the fourth most common cause of nosocomial bloodstream infection in the general hospital population [1]. In surgical

e-mail: cecylia.lukaszuk@wp.pl (Cecylia Łukaszuk)

Received 26.03.2007 Accepted 16.04.2007

patients, the incidence of *Candida* infections has increased from 2.5 to 5.6 per 1000 discharges, with mortality rates of 30% to 75%. Most reports are drawn from general hospital populations, or general surgery, burns and oncology services [2-4]. However, one result of widespread use of powerful antifungal drugs administered for increasingly broader indications has been a dramatic increase in the isolation of resistant forms of *Candida* [5-7].

Advances made during the 1990's led to the introduction of a new allylamine, terbinafine, for the treatment of dermatophytoses and new lipid formulations of amphotericin B with improved safety profiles [8,9]. In addition, new classes of antifungal agents such as the candins (pneumocandins and echinocanidins), the nikkomycins, and the pradamicins-benanomicins are being studied. However, the resistance of the yeasts to fungal agents is increasing. This still need to develop new antimycotics.

The aim of the study was the determination of antifungal activity of new of 2,5 disubstituted amino-oksometyloso-arylothiadiazole (AOAT) derivatives against *Candida albicans*, and non-*Candida albicans* strains.

## Material and methods

AOATs were synthesized at Department of Chemistry University of Agriculture in Lublin. An example of chemical structure of compound No 509 (bis(5-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-2-1,3,4-thiadiazole) was presented in *Fig. 1*.

*Figure 1.* Chemical structure of bis(5-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-2-1,3,4-thiadiazole (No 509)



<sup>\*</sup> CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Department of General Nursing, Medical University of Białystok 15-096 Białystok, ul. M. Skłodowskiej-Curie 7A, Poland Tel/fax: +48 85 7485528

In order to define the antifungal activity of 2,5 disubstituted amino-oksometyloso-arylo-thiadiazole (AOATs) derivatives, we tested against 20 fresh clinical isolates of *Candida albicans*, and 18 isolates of non-*Candida albicans*. We used 30 different compounds of AOAT for tests. The yeasts were identified to the species level by the Candi*Select* (Bio-Rad), Fungiscreen 4H (Bio-Rad), Auxacolor (Bio-Rad) tests. Prior to antifungal susceptibility testing, each isolate was passaged on SB medium to ensure optimal growth characteristics.

AOATs were used in the tests. These compounds were dissolved in 1% DMSO. Susceptibility testing was performed by the agar dilution method. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by the agar dilution procedure according to National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) reference document M27 [10]. Sabouraud's medium-SB (Bio-Rad) was used. Starting inocula were adjusted by the spectrophotometric method densitometr (BioMerieux) to 1x 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml. Concentrations of AOATs were ranging from 25 to 200 mg/L. Plates were incubated at 37°C and read after 24 h incubation. A solvent control was included in each set of assays; the DMSO solution at maximum final concentration of 1% had no effect on fungal growth. Control plates with SB medium without AOATs or with 1% DMSO were also prepared.

Two-tailed test was used to compare mean MIC values. Significance was defined as a p value of 0.05. These analyses were performed on a personal computer with a commercially available statistics program (Statistica 6.0)

#### Results

The analytical data of compounds were in agreement with the proposed structure. The purity was confirmed by HPLC and HPTLC chromatography in reversed-phase system (RP-8, RP-18, methanol-water). Details of number compounds used for fungal tests are shown in *Tab. 1.* AOATs had a mean MIC of 141.62 mg/L for 20 of *Candida albicans* strains on SB (*Tab. 1*). AOATs had MIC over the test range of 37.5-200 mg/L for *Candida albicans* isolates on SB. AOATs had a men MIC of 153.3 mg/L for 18 non-*Candida albicans* strains. We found that AOATs had MIC over the test range of 50-200 mg/l for non-*Candida albicans* clinical isolates on SB (*Tab. 2*).

## Discussion

In our study, we demonstrated the antifungal activity of new thiadiazole derivatives against *Candida albicans*, and non-*Candida albicans in vitro*. The MICs values of this sample were comparable with currently used antifungal drugs (e.g. itraconazole and fluconazole). These compounds had different MICs against the yeast-like fungi strains. We should mention *Candida albicans* strains used in the present study were resistant to several antimycotics.

In mycological reports there are a lot data on resistance problem of the yeast-like fungi to antifungal agents [11-13]. Among factors known to contribute to the pathogenicity of yeast, enzymes play a significant role, possibly being harmful to host tissues when they are liberated by the fungi [1]. A correlation has been demonstrated between the amount of phospholipase produced and virulence in *Candida albicans* strains and other yeast species. Certain fungi such as: *Mucor, Rhizopus, Aspergillus, Penicllium* and *Candida*, have the ability of releasing hydrolytic enzymes into environment, which break down multimolecular compounds – polysaccharides, proteins, lipids, hydrocarbons [1]. Our findings are in accordance with earlier studies on antimicrobial activity of thiadiazole derivatives [13-15].

In previous study [13] various new 1,4-disubstituted thiosemicarbazide and 2,5-disubstituted-1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives were synthesized and evaluated for their in vitro antimicrobial activity. The structure of compounds was confirmed by elemental analyses and spectroscopic techniques. Some of the synthesized compounds were found to be active against Candida albicans. Similar findings were reported by Mamolo et al. [14]. In recent report [15] the two series of 4,6--disubstituted 1,2,4-triazolo-1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives were synthesized and checked for their efficacy as antimicrobials in vitro. These compounds significant inhibition against all the strains tested, when compared to standard drugs. Furthermore, recently Rzeski et al. [16] reported anticancer activity of thiadiazole derivatives. Anticancer activity studies of 2-(4-fluorophenylamino)-5-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole (FABT), as one of the most promising derivatives from the N-substituted 2-amino-5-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole set, have been continued. The tested compound inhibited proliferation of tumor cells derived from cancers of nervous system (medulloblastoma/rhabdosarcoma, neuroblastoma, and glioma) and peripheral cancers including colon adenocarcinoma and lung carcinoma. The anticancer effect of FABT was attributed to decreased cell division and inhibited cell migration. In anticancer concentrations it exerted a trophic effect in neuronal cell culture and had no influence on viability of normal cells including astrocytes, hepatocytes, and skin fibroblasts. Moreover, a prominent neuroprotective activity of FABT was observed in the neuronal cultures exposed to neurotoxic agents like serum deprivation and glutamate.

In conclusion, it seems that AOATs exert potent antifungal activity against the yeast-like fungi strains *in vitro*. Further studies are needed to select the most potent compounds from these derivatives.

#### References

1. Beck-Sague C, Jarvis WR. Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal infections in the United States. 1980-1990: National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. J Infect Dis, 1993; 167: 1247-51.

2. Fraser VJ, Jones M, Dunkel J, Storfer S, Medoff G, Dunagan WC. Candidemia in a tertiary care hospital: epidemiology risk factors and predictors of mortality. Clin Infect Dis, 1992; 15: 414-21.

3. Karabinis A, Hill C, Leclerq B, Tancrede C, Baume D, Andremont A. Risk factors for candidemia in cancer patients: a case-control study. J Clin Microbiol, 1988; 26: 429-32.

4. Anaissie E, Bodey GP. Nosocomial fungal infections: old problems and new challenges. Infect Dis Clini of North America, 1989; 3: 867-82.

5. Nolte FS, Parkinson T, Falconer DJ, Dix S, Williams J, Gilmore

Mean MIC	Value mg/L	120	190	100	135	92.5	97.5	110	32.5	90	88.75	200	200	37.5	97.5	190	200	155	195	200	75	180	145	155	67.5	200	155	160	200	190	190
	20	50	100	100	50	50	100	100	25	50	25	200	200	25	100	200	200	100	200	200	50	200	200	100	50	200	100	100	200	200	200
	19	100	200	100	100	100	100	100	25	100	50	200	200	25	100	200	200	100	200	200	50	200	100	100	50	200	200	200	200	200	200
	18	200	200	100	200	100	100	100	25	100	200	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	200	200
	17	100	200	100	100	100	100	100	50	100	25	200	200	25	100	200	200	200	200	200	50	100	100	200	50	200	100	100	200	200	200
	16	50	200	100	100	50	100	100	25	100	25	200	200	25	100	200	200	200	200	200	50	100	100	200	50	200	200	100	200	200	200
	15	50	200	100	50	50	100	100	25	100	100	200	200	25	100	100	200	100	200	200	50	100	100	200	50	200	100	100	200	200	200
	14	100	200	100	200	100	100	100	25	100	200	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	50	200	200	200	200	200	200
	13	100	200	100	100	50	100	50	25	50	25	200	200	50	100	200	200	100	200	200	100	200	100	200	100	200	100	200	200	100	200
	12	100	200	100	100	100	100	50	25	50	100	200	200	50	100	200	200	100	200	200	100	200	100	100	50	200	100	200	200	200	200
rain	11	50	200	100	100	50	100	100	25	50	50	200	200	50	100	200	200	100	200	200	100	200	100	100	50	200	100	100	200	100	200
No st	10	100	200	100	100	50	100	100	50	100	50	200	200	25	100	200	200	100	200	200	100	200	100	200	50	200	100	100	200	200	100
	6	100	100	100	100	50	100	100	50	100	50	200	200	25	50	100	200	100	100	200	50	100	100	100	50	200	100	100	200	200	100
	8	200	200	100	200	100	100	200	50	100	200	200	200	25	100	200	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	200	200
	7	100	200	100	100	50	100	100	50	100	25	200	200	25	100	200	200	100	200	200	50	200	100	100	50	200	100	200	200	200	200
	9	100	200	100	200	100	100	100	25	100	25	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	50	200	200	100	100	200	200	200	200	200	200
	5	200	200	100	200	100	100	100	25	100	100	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	50	200	200	100	50	200	200	200	200	200	200
	4	100	200	100	100	50	50	100	25	100	25	200	200	25	100	200	200	100	200	200	50	200	100	100	50	200	200	100	200	200	200
	3	200	200	100	200	200	100	200	50	100	200	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	200	200
	2	200	200	100	200	200	100	200	25	100	200	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	200	200
	1	200	200	100	200	200	100	100	25	100	100	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	200	200
No	sample	469	470	471	472	474	479	490	492	499	501	506	507	509	528	529	530	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	544	545	546	550

	=
	-
	2
	ž
	=
	co.
	<u> </u>
. 1	
	Ξ.
	5
	Ξ.
	2
	×.
,	Ξ.
	5
	1
	0
	-
	ë.
	=
	5
	Ξ.
	5
	5
	2
	3
	3
	5
	9
	З
	2
	Ξ.
	Ξ.
	2
	3
1	5
	<u> </u>
	-
4	•
	N
1	<b>t</b> 2
	IST 2
	inst 2
	ainst 2
	gainst 2
	against 2
	s against 2
	ds against 2
	nds against 2
,	ands against 2
	ounds against 2
,	oounds against 2
,	100000 point 2
,	mpounds against 2
	ompounds against 2
,	compounds against 2
,	e compounds against 2
	ole compounds against 2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	zole compounds against 2
, ,	120le compounds against 2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iazole compounds against 2
•	diazole compounds against 2
	adiazole compounds against 2
	iiadiazole compounds against 2
	thiadiazole compounds against 2
	f thiadiazole compounds against 2
	of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	ie of thiadiazole compounds against $2$
	lue of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	alue of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	C value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	LIC value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	MIC value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	. MIC value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	I. MHC value of thiadiazole compounds against $2$
	? I. MIC value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	<i>le 1</i> . MIC value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	<i>ble I.</i> MIC value of thiadiazole compounds against 2
	<i>able 1.</i> MIC value of thiadiazole compounds against <b>2</b>

Mean MIC	value mg/L	155.6	200	155.6	94.4	155.6	175	163.9	158.3	58.3	136.1	175	172.2	166.7	177.8	155.6	153.3
	18	200	200	200	100	200	100	100	100	50	100	200	100	100	200	100	
	17	200	200	200	50	200	200	100	100	50	100	200	200	100	200	100	
	16	200	200	200	100	50	200	200	200	50	200	200	200	200	200	200	
train	15	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	200	200	
	14	200	200	50	100	50	200	200	200	50	200	200	200	200	200	200	
	13	200	200	50	100	100	200	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	
	12	100	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	50	100	200	200	200	200	200	
	11	50	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	100	100	200	200	200	200	200	
	10	50	200	100	100	200	100	50	50	50	50	50	100	50	50	100	
No s	6	200	200	200	100	100	50	100	50	50	50	100	100	50	100	50	
	8	100	200	100	100	200	100	100	50	50	50	100	200	100	50	50	
	7	100	200	100	50	100	200	200	100	50	100	200	200	200	200	100	
	9	100	200	100	100	100	200	100	200	50	100	100	100	200	200	100	
	2	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	50	200	200	200	200	200	200	
	4	100	200	100	100	100	200	200	200	50	200	200	200	200	200	200	
	3	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	50	200	200	200	200	200	200	
	2	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	200	200	
	1	200	200	200	100	200	200	200	200	50	200	200	200	200	200	200	
No	sample	427	429	446	438	468	438	528	499	492	536	474	479	501	509	540	

 $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} +$ 

Table 2. MIC value of thiadiazole compounds against 18 of non-Candida albicans strains on Sabouraud's medium

Т

C, Geller R. Isolation and characterization of fluconazole- and amphotericin B-resistant Candida albicans from blood of two patients with leukemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 1997; 41: 196-9.

6. Monteil RA, Madinier I, Le Fichoux Y. In vitro antifungal resistance of oral Candida albicans strains in non-Aids patients. Oral Microbiol Immunol, 1997; 12: 126-8.

7. Vanden Bossche H, Dromer F, Improvisi I, Lozano-Chiu M, Rex JH, Sanglard D. Antifungal drug resistance in pathogenic fungi. Med Mycol, 1998; Suppl 1: 119-28.

 Baran E, Ruczkowska J, Dolna I, Chromontowicz M, Ławicka I. Wrażliwość na antymikotyki drożdżaków z rodzaju Candida izolowanych z różnych materiałów i źródeł. Przegl Dermatol, 1992; 5: 330-5.

9. Yamaguchi H. Molecular and biochemical mechanisms of drug resistance in fungi. Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi, 1999; 40: 199-208.

10. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts. Approved standard. Document M27-A. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa. 1997.

11. Durupinar B, Günaydin M, Sanic A, Müjgan P, Leblebiciloglu H. In vitro susceptibility of yeast isolates from various clinical specimes to antifungal agents. Mikol Lek, 1996; 3: 9-12.

12. Espinel-Ingroff A, Kerkering TM, Goldson PR, Shadomy S. Comparision study of broth macrodilution and microdilution antifungal susceptibility tests. J Clin Microbiol, 1991; 29: 1089-94.

13. Rollas S, Karakus S, Durgun BB, Kiraz M, Erdeniz H. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some 1,4-disubstituted thiosemicarbazide and 2,5-disubstituted 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives. Farmaco, 1996; 51: 811-4.

14. Mamolo MG, Vio L, Banfi E. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some 2,5-disubstituted 1,3,4,-thiadiazole derivatives. Farmaco, 1996; 51:71-4.

15. Swamy SN, Basappa, Priya BS, Prabhuswamy B, Doreswamy BH, Prasad JS, Rangappa KS. Synthesis of pharmaceutically important condensed heterocyclic 4,6-disubstituted-1,2,4-triazolo-1,3,4-thiadia-zole derivatives as antimicrobials. Eur J Med Chem, 2006; 41: 531-8.

16. Rzeski W, Matysiak J, Kandefer-Szerszen M. Anticancer, neuroprotective activities and computational studies of 2-amino-1,3,4-thiadiazole based compound. Bioorg Med Chem, 2007; 15: 3201-7.